

From: Toycop
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/25/02 9:38am
Subject: microsoft settlement

Unfair for the consumer.

If a car or child's toy has a minor defect allowing safety problems, in time, such will be recalled. (we bought such a toy this past Christmas, yet the mfg'r has no desire to replace it for the child, they are just interested in getting it for review at any cost including child's loss of use since out of stock, Santa's identity revealed, child crying over replacement with other item). Perhaps a few will die before the red tape is unraveled, but it will get unraveled.

Why not the same treatment for Microsoft? In their case, millions of people have been harmed, yet it continues. Appears to be much more than a minor defect.

Further, they must be forced to continue to support Windows 98 and secure it up. Failure to do so could result in major problems for America while millions continue to use Windows 98 as their operating system and will continue to do so for a long time.

We all know the high court's opinion, during the presidential who won lawsuit they basically said Americans need to vote for persons who will put knowledgeable, nongreedy, nonbiased judges into power. Seems money is the root of robe evil rather than robes ruling without bias.

Big corporations in America seem to have found their niche, create a product, rush it to market and let the public test it for them. Deny problems, deny death relation to defect until the press finds the financial ties with the court. Such marketing methods are eroding consumer confidence as seen in the large tax reliefs for corporations who need such as Americans slowly boycott their products after being stung on other products and inability to get an item fixed when it breaks down after a short allowed return time. Allowances from the courts are eroding confidence. If the court system was really doing its job, they'd have known our airports were unsecure (the common American knew that) and made a ruling of adjustment before anything went wrong. Such and others stretch from sea to shining sea. Look at Walmart, it's common knowledge that they will deny a consumer's injury was due to their policy or state of store. They have the financial backing and the power of the police to assist them. Meanwhile, the common American watches as those who have marked on their work car, "To serve and protect", the officer drive around the dead animal laying in the middle of the road. Meanwhile the next citizen to swerve to avoid gets pulled over and ticketed for weaving or crossing the center line and then pays a fee to the court when the judge rules in favor of the state. Whatever happened to serve the public vs serve only big corporations since they must hire lawyer and private detective to prove case while corporations can use the Police network?

Few years ago, a judge I had to go in front of a few months prior for a speeding ticket would not listen to my excuse that I had to increase speed due to the activity of the motorist I was attempting to pass prior to the two officers stationed on each side of the road at the crossover road. When the judge was found to be taking kickbacks from his rulings and disrobed, why wasn't I refunded my fine payment? He was corrupt, and using the badged gun carriers to pad his wallet and city coffers at my expense rather than face the problem which would have been to consuming for the court system to get at the root of the evils. Sure, the judge listened to me and stated I sounded honest but he had his bias opinion decided in advance. I could see it in his body language while he reached with his gavel multiple times. At least the court system finally had the sense to rule against Carmel Indiana and require them to videotape all traffic stops

after many blacks and other minorities were hassled, it took the pull over of a black state trooper to get it done tho Carmel officer insists he was correct. Should have seen his body language as he walked up to the old car and the complete change over when he spotted the black officers uniform, I did. That's one lying Carmel officer, I saw the entire event. When the blackman went thru the light, it was green contrary to the white officers claim. He was distracted by the expensive new cars running the red light on the crossroad making it appear the black officer ran it instead.